Juneseo Hwang Macario Lacbawan Stefan C. Aykut

## 10 July 2024

Humanities Centre for Advanced Studies "Futures of Sustainability" at Universität Hamburg Gorch-Fock-Wall 3, 20355 Hamburg

Tow does the climate emergency en $oldsymbol{\Pi}$ gender new configurations of power? And how does it reinforce existing ones? In recent years, there has been a conspicuous convergence, in public debates and political practices around the world, of autocratic leadership and domestic responses to the prevailing ecological crisis. This phenomenon, described as "green authoritarianism" (Magnani 2012), "environmental authoritarianism" (Beeson 2010), "coercive environmentalism" (Li & Shapiro), or "eco- authoritarianism" (Enninga 2023), challenges assumptions about the relationship between environmental governance, the climate emergency, and state-society relations. On the one hand, this emerging pattern reflects a broader "authoritarian fix" (Bruff, 2014: 125), as segments of societies in different countries embrace autocratic governance styles and charismatic figures such as Viktor Orban, Rodrigo Duterte, and Jair Bolsonaro in the face of perceived failure of liberal democratic systems to adequately address critical challenges such as inequality, unemployment, and poverty. On the other hand, the reference to coercive measures and authoritarian control is also a result of the politics of emergency in ecological debates (Adloff & Neckel 2019). In some cases, the existential peril posed by an impending planetary collapse has been used to legitimize the strengthening of centralized authority with the aim of enacting ambitious environmental policies. Authoritarian regimes mobilize populist rhetoric and

execute these policies through processes of top-down decision- making, supplemented by the arbitrary rule of law and coercive measures involving military and police apparatuses. In its other iterations, green authoritarianism appears as a positive vantage point in critiques of the "environmental state", which depict the impossibility to operate a socio-ecological transformation within liberal capitalist democracies (Blühdorn 2020, Hausknost 2020). Within this framework, green authoritarianism proposes an alternative and 'efficient' approach to dismantling the "shadow of hierarchy" in self-regulating environmental governance (Héritier & Lehmkuhl 2008), nurturing a habitable planet, fostering a novel environmental ethos of care, and shaping a distinct green biopolitics of life.

In this workshop, we want to examine the recent entanglement between authoritarian power and the contemporary climate crisis. More specifically, we look into (1) how and why authoritarian leaders have utilized environmentalism as an instrument to sustain and expand their power base, and (2) the instances when authoritarian responses to the climate crisis, which seemingly contravene liberal values such as the rule of law, human rights, and democracy, garner popular support and resistance. Additionally, the workshop will (3) scrutinize how authoritarianism's foray into providing solutions to the climate crisis generates new forms of subjects, relations, and contentious politics.

Adloff, Frank and Neckel, Sighard. (2019). "Futures of sustainability as modernization, transformation, and control: a conceptual framework." Sustainability Science 14 (2019): 1015-1025.

Beeson, Mark. (2010). "The Coming of Environmental Authoritarianism." Environmental Politics 19 (2): 279-94. Blühdorn, Ingolfur. (2020). "The legitimation crisis of democracy: emancipatory politics, the environmental state and the glass ceiling to socio-ecological transformation." Environmental Politics 29 (1): 38-57.

Bruff, Ian. (2014). "The Rise of Authoritarian Neoliberalism." Rethinking Marxism 26 (1): 113-29.

Enninga, Justus. (2023). Environmentalism, a flirt with eco-authoritarianism and the robustness of ordoliberalism. Constitutional Political Economy. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10602-023-09406-z

Hausknost, Daniel. (2020). "The environmental state and the glass ceiling of transformation." Environmental Politics 29 (1): 17-37.

Héritier, Adrienne and Lehmkuhl, Dirk. (2008). "The shadow of hierarchy and new modes of governance." Journal of Public Policy 28(1): 1-17.

Li, Yifei and Shapiro, Judith. (2020). China Goes Green: Coercive Environmentalism for a Troubled Planet. Polity Press. Magnani, Natalia. (2012). "The Green Energy Transition. Sustainable Development or Ecological Modernization?" Sociologica, Italian Journal of Sociology 2: 0-0. doi: 10.2383/38270

10 July 2024 09:30-10:00am 10:00-10:30am 10:30-10:45am 10:45-11:45am 01:30-02:00pm 02:00-02:30pm 02:30-03:00pm 03:00-03:30pm 03:30-04:00pm 04:00-04:30pm 04:30-05:00pm

When Power Goes Green — The Politics of Ecological Emergency in the Shadow of Authoritarianism

## Program

## **Morning Session**

**Opening Remarks** 

Yifei Li (NYU Shanghai)

Capacious Capacity: Conceptualizing the State in Chinese Environmental Governance

Response by **Juneseo Hwang** (Universität Hamburg)

Discussion

**Lunch Break** 

Afternoon Session

**Kristian Karlo Saguin** (University of the Philippines)

Authoritarian populist ecologies and the city in the Philippines (via Zoom)

Discussion

Gvantsa Gverdtsiteli (Roskilde University)

Conflicts and Compromise of Authoritarian State Environmentalism: National park development and state-society relations in rural Vietnam

Discussion

Coffee Break

**Attila Antal** (Eötvös Loránd University)

Authoritarian Populism, Environmentalism and Exceptional Governance in Hungary

Discussion

Room: 1021

Humanities Centre for Advanced Studies "Futures of Sustainability" at Universität Hamburg Gorch-Fock-Wall 3, 20354 Hamburg



